

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,188	02/12/2002	Peter G. Loges	56326-041 (IOPL-119)	6665
7590 11/07/2003			EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 34th Floor 28 State Street			FASTOVSKY, LEONID M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02109-1775			3742	7
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		in	1	
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	`	
Office Action Summ ry	10/074,188	LOGES ET AL.		
Onice Action Summ Ty	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunicatio	Leonid M Fastovsky	3742		
Period for Reply	in appears on the cover sheet v	nui die correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on.  , a reply within the statutory minimum of th period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1 <u>12 February 2002</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-30</u> is/are pending in	, ,			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	Indrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 1130 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a Application Papers	and/or election requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2002</u> i		piected to by the Examiner		
Applicant may not request that any objection		•		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _				
If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ne Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the action for a second</li></ul>	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign languag</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do</li> </ul>	• • •			
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	8) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1- 4, 6-13, 22-23, and 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moldenhauer (3,394,257) in view of Kuhn et al (1,572,395).

With regard to claims 1-2, 4, 6-9, 22-23, and 26-28, Moldenhauer teaches a radiation source comprising a base 7, a curved parabolic reflector 2, two pins 6 passing through the base 7, a first pin having a first portion, that extends at an angle with respect to the axis, a second pin having a second portion which extends at an angle with respect to the axis, a filament 11 helically wounded around the pins, a window 3, and an inert gas contained within the enclosure, but does not discloses that the helically wound filament has a diameter that decreases along the axis and that a width of the filament is greater then space between adjacent coils. Kuhn shows such a helically wound filament 2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to adapt a modified invention of Moldenhauer to include a helically wound filament having a diameter decreasing along the axis and a width greater then the space between adjacent coils as taught by Kuhn as a matter of design choice, since the applicant has

Application/Control Number: 10/074,188

Art Unit: 3742

not disclose that this type of filament solved any problems or is for any particular purpose, and it appears the the invention would perform equally well with existing filament. Moreover, as stated on Page 6 (Paragraph 25), the filament can be provided with a constant diameter.

With regard to claims 3, 12-13, and 29, Moldenhauer and Kuhn do not disclose an elliptic reflector comprising a non- ferrous metal, and coated or plated with at least one of the aluminum, gold and silver, and that the second pin includes a third portion and a fourth portion. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to adapt a modified invention of Moldenhauer and Kuhn to use materials and an elliptic reflector as a matter of design choice, since the applicant has not disclose that these materials and elliptic reflector solved any stated problems or is for any particular purpose, and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with existing materials and reflector's shape.

3. Claims 5, and 14- 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moldenhauer and Kuhn as applied to claims 1-4, 6-13, 22-23, and 25-29 and further in view of Boland et al (5,438,233) and George et al (2002/0096492).

Moldenhauer and Kuhn disclose substantially the claimed features including a radiation source comprising a base, a curved reflector, two pins passing through the base, a filament helically wounded around the pins, and a window. Moldenhauer and Kuhn do not disclose that the window is made out of different materials, filament textured features, and emissions cut-off wavelength. Boland et al shows that window includes a sapphire and germanium (Col. 3, lines 50-65), George et al shows filament textured

Art Unit: 3742

features (Col. 2, [11]), and Boland et al shows a cut-off wavelength (Col. 2, lines 50-65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to adapt a modified invention of Moldenhauer and Kuhn to use materials and a cut-off wavelength size as taught by Boland and filament textured features as taught by George et al to select an infrared wavelength spectrum.

4. Claims 24 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moldenhauer and Kuhn as applied to claims 1-4, 6-13, 22-23, and 25-29 and further in view of Karlsson (6,034,360).

Moldenhauer and Kuhn disclose substantially the claimed features including a radiation source comprising a base, a curved reflector, two pins passing through the base, a filament helically wounded around the pins, and a window, but does not disclose filament and pin materials. Karlsson shows that filament comprises nickel-chromium and pins are made from Kovar (claims 10-11). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to adapt a modified invention of Moldenhauer and Kuhn to use materials as taught by Karlsson to tune for an applicable frequency range.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/074,188

Art Unit: 3742

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Page 5

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 703-

306-5482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sang Paik can be reached on 703-308-1147. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for

regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0861.

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner

SANG Y. PAIK Art Unit 3742

PRIMARY EXAMINER

5.5

Application/Control Number: 10/074,188

Art Unit: 3742

lmf

November 6, 2003

Page 6